

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.D. Box 1459 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
. 08/941,132	09/30/1997	YASUYUKI TANAKA	0649-SP0619P	5656	
2292	7590 07/31/2003				
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			ZITOLIER ERED		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ZITOMER, FRED		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1713		
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	W W	
				7 7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	08/941,132	TANAKA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Fred Zitomer	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	April 2003 and 08 May 2003 .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>B</i> Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-32</u> is/are pending in the app	plication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-27</u> is/are withdrawn	•		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7,8 and 28-32</u> is/are rejected.		•	
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accept	•	miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:	•		
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 08/941,132

• Art Unit: 1713

1.

This responds to the communications of April 11, 2003 and May 8, 2003. The rejection of record of claims 1-3, 7 and 8 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments. The rejection of record under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Yasuyuki et al., EP 0 584 597, taken with Kondo et al., US 4,208,490, or Burlett et al., US 5,118,546, or Hayashi et al., US 4,528,340, is maintained for the claims of Group I as noted below. No claim is allowed.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3.

Claims 1-3, 7, 8 and 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Yasuyuki et al., EP 0 584 597, taken with Kondo et al., US 4,208,490, or Burlett et al., US 5,118,546, or Hayashi et al., US 4,528,340.

The grounds of rejection are adequately set forth in the prior Office action(s).

4.

Applicant's arguments filed April 11, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The essence of said arguments is that unexpected results in the way of enhanced grafting and epoxidation rates are realized with deproteinized natural rubber. By way of reply it is noted that the arguments repeat earlier arguments which have been addressed and found to be non-persuasive in prior Office actions. See e.g. the responses given in the Examiner's Answer [Paper no. 33] and the decision by the Board Of Patent Appeals And Interferences [Paper no.

Application/Control Number: 08/941,132

· Art Unit: 1713

37]. In sum, it has been held that the chemical, physical and physiological advantages of deproteinized rubber were known at the time of the instant invention and that even if the specific advantages now being claimed were not known, unexpected results do not outweigh expected results.

It is noted that the present revisions to the claims relating to preparing deproteinized natural rubber with nonionic surfactants or a combination of nonionic and anionic surfactants are not deemed to impact patentability. More directly, applicant admits that the deproteinized natural rubber and instant surfactants are disclosed in the prior art [Applicant's disclosure page 7, second complete paragraph – page 8, paragraph 2]. EP - A - 0.584.597 for example teaches natural rubber prepared with the instant surfactants [page 6, lines 19-58].

5.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- Art Unit: 1713

6.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Zitomer whose telephone number is (703) 308-2461. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful David Wu can be reached at (703) 308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 (before final) and (703) 872-9311 (after final).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

FRED ZITOMER, PhD PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1713

Zitomer/fz July 26, 2003